Zevachim 110 – Good Morning Raboisai

1️⃣ Ketores Outside — Mishnah and the Beraisa

We open with an apparent contradiction:

- One source implies:
  - **A kezayis of ketores offered outside → chayav**
- Another source implies:
  - **Patur** unless a larger shiur is offered

The Gemara clarifies:

There are **two very different ketores offerings**, and the halacha depends which one we mean.

2️⃣ Two Types of Ketores

There are **two ketores avodos**:

1. **Daily Ketores**
   - Offered **twice daily**
   - On the **Mizbeach HaZahav**
   - Located in the **Heichal**
   - Shiur: **kezayis**
2. **Yom Kippur Ketores**
   - Offered **once a year**
   - Inside the **Kodesh HaKodashim**
   - Shiur: **מְלֹא חָפְנָיו**(two full handfuls)

Key question:

If one takes ketores **outside**,
do we follow the **daily shiur** or the **Yom Kippur shiur**?

3️⃣ The Machlokes — Is “מְלֹא חָפְנָיו” Absolute?

One opinion:

- מְלֹא חָפְנָיו is **absolute**
- Anything less — even a kezayis — is **not considered ketores**
- Therefore:
    - Less than two handfuls outside → **patur**

Opposing view (Abaye):

- For liability **outside**, we follow the **standard daily shiur**
- Since daily ketores is **kezayis**,

bringing a kezayis outside → **chayav**, even on Yom Kippur

---

4 The Principle Behind Abaye's Logic

Abaye's rule:

The shiur for liability outside
is learned from the **regular avodah**, not the exceptional one.

Just as:

- Daily ketores → kezayis
- Bringing that kezayis outside → chayav

So too:

- Ketores of Yom Kippur
- A kezayis brought outside → chayav

Even though:

- The **inside requirement** on Yom Kippur is much larger

---

5 Challenge — Why Not Learn from Water Libations?

The Gemara challenges Abaye:

- **Nisuch HaMayim** (water libation on Sukkos):
    - Requires **three lugim**
- If we follow Abaye's logic:

    - Even a kezayis of water outside should be chayav

But we know:

- Less than three lugim → **patur**

Answer:

- Ketores is learned from **ketores**
- Water libation is a **different avodah**
- We do **not** mix shiurim across unrelated services

---

6 Rav's Resolution — The Kli Establishes the Shiur

Rava resolves the contradiction differently:

The dispute is **not** about Yom Kippur ketores.

Both sources refer to **daily ketores**.

The real question:

Does placing ketores into a **kli shares** fix the shiur?

- **One view**:
    - Once placed in a kli, the **entire amount becomes one unit**
    - Liability only if **all of it** is taken outside
- **Other view**:
    - The shiur remains **kezayis**
    - Even part of it outside → chayav

This same logic appears by:

- Wine libations
- Where different animals require:
    - 3, 4, or 6 lugim

---

7 Wine Libations — A Parallel Case

Example:

- A bull requires **6 lugim**

- A ram requires **4**
- A lamb requires **3**

If:

- 6 lugim were sanctified in a kli
- Only 4 were taken outside

Result:

- **Chayav**, because 4 lugim is a valid shiur for a ram

But:

- Less than 3 lugim → **patur**
- Because no korban uses less than that

---

8 What If the Shiur Was Lost Midway?

Case:

- A full **kezayis** of ketores is taken outside
- Then part of it:
    - Burns
    - Blows away
    - Gets lost

Question:

Is he still chayav?

Answer:

- Yes.
- The moment it exits the Mikdash, it already becomes **pasul**
- Liability is determined at the **moment of exit**

However:

- If it was already lacking **before** exiting → **patur**

---

9 Mincha — Kemitzah and Levonah

A Mincha requires **two matirim**:

1. **Kemitzah**
2. **Levonah**

Cases:

- Offering a Mincha **without kemitzah** outside → **patur**
- If kemitzah was done, but then mixed back in:
    - It does **not** become batel
    - Each kemitzah retains its identity

Machlokes:

- Is offering **one** of the two matirim enough?
- **Rabbanan**:
    - Yes → **chayav**
- **Rabbi Elazar**:
    - No → must offer **both**

🔟 Pigul Comparison — Partial vs Complete Intent

Parallel from pigul:

- According to **Rabbi Meir**:
    - Pigul intent on **one matir** is sufficient
- According to **Rabbanan**:
    - Must have pigul intent on **both**

This frames the question:

Does performing one matir remove **half** the prohibition
or merely **weaken** it?

1️⃣1️⃣ Zerikas Dam — Each Act Is Independent

By **Chatas**:

- Four zerikos are required

- Yet:
    - Each zerikah is a **complete avodah**

Proof:

- On Yom Kippur:
    - If blood spills mid-process
    - A new animal is brought
    - Previous zerikos **remain valid**

Thus:

Each zerikah stands on its own.

1️⃣2️⃣ Nisuch HaMayim — DeOraisa or Halacha L'Moshe MiSinai

Final sugya:

- Is **water libation** DeOraisa or Halacha l'Moshe MiSinai?

Conclusion:

- **DeOraisa**, according to Rabbi Akiva
- Learned from the plural language **נִסְכֵיהֶם**
- Applies **only on Sukkos**

Still unresolved:

- Whether it has a fixed shiur (three lugim)
- Or whether **any amount** creates liability outside

✨ Takeaway – Zevachim 110

- Ketores liability depends on **which ketores** and **which shiur**
- Daily ketores = **kezayis**
- Yom Kippur ketores = **מְלֹא חָפְנָיו**
- For outside liability, shiur may follow the **standard avodah**
- A **kli** can fix the shiur
- Loss after exiting does not remove liability

- Mincha requires understanding of **dual matirim**
- Each zerikah is an independent act
- Nisuch HaMayim is **DeOraisa**, limited to Sukkos

לעילוי נשמת אמי מורתי רות בת הרב מנחם מנדל